




PEDESTRIAN WIND ENVIRONMENT STUDY

504 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ST LEONARDS

WC687-09F02(REV0)- WE REPORT

OCTOBER 4, 2016

Prepared for:

New Hope VIMG Pty Ltd

C/O Urban Pty Ltd,
Suite 1204, Level 12, 220 George Street
Sydney, NSW 2000

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Date	Revision History	Issued Revision	Prepared By (initials)	Instructed By (initials)	Reviewed & Authorised by (initials)
October 4, 2016	Initial.	0	HK	TR	TR/BU

The work presented in this document was carried out in accordance with the Windtech Consultants Quality Assurance System, which is based on International Standard ISO 9001.

This document is issued subject to review and authorisation by the Team Leader noted by the initials printed in the last column above. If no initials appear, this document shall be considered as preliminary or draft only and no reliance shall be placed upon it other than for information to be verified later.

This document is prepared for our Client's particular requirements which are based on a specific brief with limitations as agreed to with the Client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by a third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party without prior consent provided by Windtech Consultants. The information herein should not be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full. Prior to passing on to a third party, the Client is to fully inform the third party of the specific brief and limitations associated with the commission.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the results of a detailed investigation into the wind environment impact of the proposed development located at 504 Pacific Highway, St Leonards. Testing was performed using Windtech's boundary layer wind tunnel, which has a 3.0m wide working section and has a fetch length of 14m. Measurements were made in the wind tunnel at selected critical trafficable outdoor locations within and around the development from 16 wind directions at 22.5 degree increments using a 1:300 scale detailed model of the development. The study model has been constructed based on the latest available architectural drawings prepared by A Plus Design Group, received August 17, 2016, which takes into account the latest design changes. The latest landscape drawings, received September 30, 2016 have also been taken to account. The effect of nearby buildings and land topography has been accounted for through the use of a proximity model, which represents a diameter of approximately 750m.

Wind velocity coefficients representing the local wind speeds are derived from the wind tunnel and are combined with a statistical model of the regional wind climate (which accounts for the directional strength and frequency of occurrence of the prevailing regional winds) to provide the equivalent full-scale wind speeds at the site. These wind speed measurements are compared with criteria for pedestrian comfort and safety, based on gust wind speeds which are representative of an annual recurrence, and Gust-Equivalent Mean (GEM) wind speeds which are representative of approximately a weekly recurrence.

The wind environment impact of the proposed development was undertaken with and without the inclusion of the existing and proposed development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway. The existing wind conditions for the pedestrian footpaths within and around the site have also been tested to determine the impact of the proposed development. Hence the four tested cases for this study are as follows:

- Existing site conditions, including the proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway.
- Existing site conditions, excluding the proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway.
- The development as proposed, including the proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway.
- The development as proposed, excluding the proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway.

The model of the proposed development was initially tested in the wind tunnel without the effect of any forms of wind ameliorating devices such as screens, balustrades, awnings, etc., which are not already shown in the architectural drawings. The effect of vegetation was also excluded from the initial testing. For areas not achieving appropriate wind conditions, treatments have been formulated and tested in the wind tunnel to ensure their effectiveness.

With the inclusion of the proposed development, the results of the study indicate that some treatments are necessary to be implemented to achieve the desired wind conditions for certain

outdoor trafficable locations of the development. The suggested treatments, which have been tested in the wind tunnel to verify their effectiveness, are summarised as follows:

Ground Level

- Retention of the proposed densely foliating trees in Friedlander Place.
- Retention of the proposed densely foliating shrub planting to 1.5m high underneath palm trees in Friedlander Place.
- Retention of the proposed densely foliating planting to the south of Friedlander Place.
- Retention of the 3m high impermeable screen on the southern and western boundary of Friedlander Place.
- Temporary 25% porous screen or vertical trellis to the east of the entrance until the adjacent 472-486 Pacific Highway is completed.
- Localised portable screening around the seating areas proposed to the east of the main entryway to be operator controlled in the event of strong winds.

Level 2

- Option 1:
 - Temporary full-height 25% porous screen on the north-eastern corner of the terrace until the adjacent 472-486 Pacific Highway is completed.
 - Full-height 25% porous screen on the south-eastern corner of the terrace.
 - Retention of the proposed 1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting along the terrace perimeter.
- Option 2: Make eastern terrace area non-trafficable.

Level 3

- Option 1:
 - Full-height 25% porous return screen on the south-eastern corner of the terrace.
 - Full-height 25% porous return screen along the eastern perimeter of the terrace.
 - Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the south-western and north-western corner areas of the terrace.
- Option 2: Make eastern terrace area non-trafficable.

Level 4

- Option 1:
 - Full-height 25% porous return screen on the southern edge of the south-eastern corner balcony.
 - Retention of the proposed 1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting along the terrace perimeter.
- Option 2: Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the south-eastern corner balcony.
- Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the south-western corner balcony.

Level 5 to Level 43

- Option 1: Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the north-western, north-eastern, south-eastern, and south-western corner balconies.
- Option 2: Ensure corner balconies are non-trafficable (non-trafficable balconies will not require warning systems to be implemented).

With regards to the wind conditions on the balconies, the wind tunnel study has identified various corner balconies where wind speeds are expected to exceed the safety limit. To address this, it is agreed with the applicant that the Strata Bylaws will incorporate a provision to prohibit the placement of light furniture anywhere on the corner residential balconies, unless it is securely attached to the terrace floor slab. In addition, wind speed sensors will be installed near the respective corners of building at various heights and to be set to trigger a warning to the occupants (such as a warning light) whenever the mean wind speed exceeds 8m/s (or 20m/s gust). There should be a minimum of 8 sensors, one for each corner on the upper levels of the tower for the wind conditions on the upper half of the tower, as well as one for each corner approximately halfway up the tower for the wind conditions on the lower half of the tower. Making the area non-trafficable will negate the need for a warning system for that specific area. The wind speed anemometers can be calibrated in a wind tunnel, if a calibration certificate is not provided.

With the inclusion of these recommended treatments to the final design, the results of this study indicate that wind conditions for all outdoor trafficable areas within and around the subject development will be suitable for their intended uses for both scenarios with the existing and proposed development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway. Note the densely foliating trees should be of an evergreen species to ensure their effectiveness in wind mitigation throughout the year. The inclusion of additional densely foliating vegetation within and around the outdoor trafficable areas of the subject development is expected to further enhance the localised wind conditions.

CONTENTS

Executive Summary	iii
1 Wind Climate for the Sydney Region	2
2 The Wind Tunnel Model	4
3 Boundary Layer Wind Flow Model	15
4 Environmental Wind Speed Criteria	19
4.1 Wind Effects on People	19
4.1.1 A.D. Penwarden (1975) Criteria for Gust Wind Speeds	19
4.1.2 A.G. Davenport (1972) Criteria for Mean Wind Speeds	19
4.1.3 T.V. Lawson (1975) Criteria for Mean Wind Speeds	20
4.1.4 W.H. Melbourne (1978) Criteria for Gust Wind Speeds	20
4.2 Comparison of the Various Wind Speed Criteria	21
4.3 Wind Speed Criteria Used for This Study	22
5 Test Procedure and Methodology	23
5.1 Measurement of the Velocity Coefficients	23
5.2 Calculation of the Full-Scale Results	24
5.2.1 Annual Maximum Gust Wind Speeds	24
5.2.2 Weekly Maximum Gust-Equivalent Mean Wind Speeds	25
5.3 Layout of Study Points, and Relevant Wind Speed Criteria	26
6 Results and Discussion	37
References	74

APPENDIX A - Directional Plots of the Wind Tunnel Results

APPENDIX B - Velocity and Turbulence Intensity Profiles

1 WIND CLIMATE FOR THE SYDNEY REGION

Details of the wind climate of the Sydney region have been determined from a detailed statistical analysis of measured mean wind speed data from the meteorological observation station located at Kingsford Smith airport (Sydney Airport). The data has been collected from this station from 1939 to 2009, and corrected so that it represents winds over standard open terrain at a height of 10m above ground. The corrected data is summarised Table 1 for the weekly and annual return periods in the form of hourly means and the corresponding 3-second gust values. These directional wind speeds are also presented in Figure 1 (referenced as hourly mean wind speeds), as well as the directional frequency of occurrences for the region.

The data indicates that, for the weekly and annual return periods, the southerly winds are by far the most frequent wind for the Sydney region, and are also the strongest. The westerly winds occur most frequently during the winter season for the Sydney region, and although they are typically not as strong as the southerly winds, they are usually a cold wind since they occur during the winter and hence can be a cause for discomfort for outdoor areas. North-easterly winds occur most frequently during the warmer months of the year for the Sydney region, and hence are usually welcomed within outdoor areas since they are typically not as strong as the southerly or westerly winds.

**Table 1: Directional Mean and Gust Wind Speeds for the Sydney Region
(referenced to 10m height above ground in standard open terrain)**

Wind Direction	Reference Wind Speeds (m/s)			
	Weekly Recurrence		Annual Recurrence	
	Hourly Mean	3-second Gust	Hourly Mean	3-second Gust
N	6.1	9.3	9.6	14.6
NNE	9.2	14.1	12.7	19.4
NE	8.1	12.4	11.0	16.8
ENE	6.1	9.3	9.3	14.2
E	5.6	8.6	8.6	13.2
ESE	5.1	7.8	8.6	13.2
SE	6.3	9.6	9.4	14.4
SSE	8.0	12.2	12.1	18.4
S	10.3	15.7	13.7	21.0
SSW	8.4	12.8	12.8	19.5
SW	5.6	8.6	10.9	16.7
WSW	7.9	12.0	12.6	19.3
W	9.3	14.2	13.7	21.0
WNW	6.4	9.8	12.4	18.9
NW	5.7	8.7	11.0	16.8
NNW	5.0	7.7	9.9	15.1




Figure 1: Directional Hourly Mean Wind Speeds, and Frequencies of Occurrence, for the Sydney Region (for the annual and weekly return periods, referenced to standard open terrain at a height of 10m above ground)

2 THE WIND TUNNEL MODEL

Measurements were made in the wind tunnel at selected critical trafficable outdoor locations within and around the development from 16 wind directions at 22.5 degree increments using a 1:300 scale detailed model of the development. The study model has been constructed based on the latest available architectural drawings prepared by A Plus Design Group, received August 17, 2016, which takes into account the latest design changes. The effect of nearby buildings and land topography has been accounted for through the use of a proximity model, which represents a diameter of approximately 750m. The model will also include the existing and proposed development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway. Photographs of the wind tunnel model with the inclusion of the existing development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway are presented in Figures 2a to 2i and with the proposed development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway in Figures 2j to 2r.

The model of the proposed development was initially tested in the wind tunnel without the effect of any forms of wind ameliorating devices such as screens, balustrades, awnings, etc., which are not already shown in the architectural drawings. The effect of vegetation was also excluded from the initial testing. For areas not achieving appropriate wind conditions, treatments have been formulated and tested in the wind tunnel to ensure their effectiveness.




Figure 2a: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South




Figure 2b: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South-East




Figure 2c: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the East




Figure 2d: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the North-East




Figure 2e: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the North




Figure 2f: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the North-West



Figure 2g: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the West



Figure 2h: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South-West




Figure 2i: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South



Figure 2j: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South




Figure 2k: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South-East




Figure 2l: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the East




Figure 2m: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the North-East




Figure 2n: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the North




Figure 2o: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the North-West




Figure 2p: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the West




Figure 2q: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the South-West




Figure 2r: Photograph of the Wind Tunnel Model – Proposed Development with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development – View from the West

3 BOUNDARY LAYER WIND FLOW MODEL

Testing was performed using Windtech's boundary layer wind tunnel, which has a 3.0m wide working section and has a fetch length of 14m. The model was placed in the appropriate standard boundary layer wind flow for each of the 16 prevailing wind directions used for the wind tunnel testing. The type of wind flow used in a wind tunnel study is determined by a detailed analysis of the surrounding terrain types around the subject site. Details of the analysis of the surrounding terrain for this study are provided in the following pages of this report.

The roughness of the earth's surface has the effect of slowing down the prevailing wind near the ground. This effect is observed up to what is known as the *boundary layer height*, which can range between 500m to 3km above the earth's surface depending on the roughness of the surface (i.e. oceans, open farmland, dense urban cities, etc.). Within this range, the prevailing wind forms what is known as a *boundary layer wind profile*.

Various wind codes and standards classify various types of boundary layer wind flows depending on the surface roughness. However, it should be noted that the wind profile does not change instantly due to changes in the terrain roughness. It can take many kilometres (at least 100km) of a constant surface roughness for the boundary layer profile to achieve a state of equilibrium. Descriptions of the standard boundary layer profiles for various terrain types are summarised as follows (as per the definitions in AS/NZS1170.2:2011):

- **Terrain Category 1.0:** Extremely flat terrain. Examples include enclosed water bodies such as lakes, dams, rivers, bays, etc.
- **Terrain Category 1.5:** Relatively flat terrain. Examples include the open ocean, deserts, and very flat open plains.
- **Terrain Category 2.0:** Open terrain. Examples include grassy fields and plains and open farmland (without buildings or trees).
- **Terrain Category 2.5:** Relatively open terrain. Examples include farmland with scattered trees and buildings and very low-density suburban areas.
- **Terrain Category 3.0:** Suburban and forest terrain. Examples include suburban areas of towns and areas with dense vegetation such as forests, bushland, etc.
- **Terrain Category 3.5:** Relatively dense suburban terrain. Examples include centres of small cities, industrial parks, etc.
- **Terrain Category 4.0:** Dense urban terrain. Examples include CBD's of large cities with many high-rise towers, and areas with many closely-spaced mid-rise buildings.

For this study, the shape of the boundary layer wind flows over standard terrain types is defined as per ISO4354:2009. These are summarised in Table 2, referenced to the study reference height of 100m above ground.

Table 2: Terrain and Height Multipliers, Turbulence Intensities, and Corresponding Roughness Lengths, for the Standard ISO4354:2009 Boundary Layer Profiles (at the study reference height)

Terrain Category	Terrain and Height Multipliers			Turbulence Intensity I_v	Terrain Roughness Length (m) $Z_{0,r}$
	$k_{tr,T=3600s}$ (hourly)	$k_{tr,T=600s}$ (10-minute)	$k_{tr,T=3s}$ (3-second)		
1.0	1.04	1.06	1.33	0.095	0.003
1.5	0.99	1.02	1.31	0.111	0.01
2.0	0.93	0.97	1.29	0.128	0.03
2.5	0.86	0.90	1.26	0.153	0.1
3.0	0.79	0.83	1.23	0.184	0.3
3.5	0.69	0.74	1.18	0.232	1
4.0	0.59	0.64	1.12	0.302	3

An analysis of the effect of changes in the upwind terrain roughness was carried out for each of the wind directions studied. This has been undertaken based on the method given in AS/NZS1170.2:2011, which uses a “fetch” length of 40 times the study reference height. However, it should be noted that this “fetch” commences *beyond* a “lag distance” area, which has a length of 20 times the study reference height (in accordance with AS/NZS1170.2:2011), so the actual “fetch” of terrain analysed is the area between 20 and 60 times the study reference height away from the site. An aerial image showing the surrounding terrain is presented in Figure 3 for a radius of 6km from the edge of the wind tunnel proximity model. The resulting mean and gust terrain and height multipliers at the site location are presented in Table 3, referenced to the study reference height.

For each of the 16 wind directions tested in this study, the approaching boundary layer wind profiles modelled in the wind tunnel matched the model scale and the overall surrounding terrain characteristics beyond the extent of the proximity model. Plots of the wind tunnel boundary layer wind profiles are presented in Appendix C of this report.

**Table 3: Directional Mean and Gust Terrain and Height Multipliers at the Site
(at the study reference height)**

Wind Sector (degrees)	$k_{tr,T=3600s}$ (hourly mean)	$k_{tr,T=600s}$ (10-minute mean)	$k_{tr,T=3s}$ (3-second gust)
0	0.79	0.83	1.23
30	0.83	0.86	1.24
60	0.86	0.90	1.26
90	0.84	0.87	1.25
120	0.89	0.92	1.27
150	0.90	0.94	1.28
180	0.87	0.90	1.26
210	0.88	0.92	1.27
240	0.91	0.95	1.28
270	0.83	0.87	1.24
300	0.79	0.83	1.23
330	0.78	0.82	1.22




Figure 3: Aerial Image of the Surrounding Terrain
(radius of 6km from the edge of the proximity model, which is coloured red)

4 ENVIRONMENTAL WIND SPEED CRITERIA

4.1 Wind Effects on People

The acceptability of wind in any area is dependent upon its use. For example, people walking or window-shopping will tolerate higher wind speeds than those seated at an outdoor restaurant. Various other researchers, such as A.G. Davenport, T.V. Lawson, W.H. Melbourne, A.D. Penwarden, etc., have published criteria for pedestrian comfort for pedestrians in outdoor spaces for various types of activities. These are discussed in the following sub-sections of this report.

4.1.1 A.D. Penwarden (1975) Criteria for Gust Wind Speeds

The following table developed by A.D. Penwarden (1975) is a modified version of the Beaufort Scale, and describes the effects of various wind intensities on people. Note that the applicability column related to wind conditions occurring frequently (approximately once per week on average). Higher ranges of wind speeds can be tolerated for rarer events.

Table 4: Summary of Wind Effects on People (after A.D. Penwarden, 1975)

Type of Winds	Beaufort Number	Mean Wind Speed (m/s)	Effects
Calm, light air	1	0 - 1.5	Calm, no noticeable wind
Light breeze	2	1.6 - 3.3	Wind felt on face
Gentle breeze	3	3.4 - 5.4	Hair is disturbed, Clothing flaps
Moderate breeze	4	5.5 - 7.9	Raises dust, dry soil and loose paper - Hair disarranged
Fresh breeze	5	8.0 - 10.7	Force of wind felt on body
Strong breeze	6	10.8 - 13.8	Umbrellas used with difficulty, Hair blown straight, Difficult to walk steadily, Wind noise on ears unpleasant.
Near gale	7	13.9 - 17.1	Inconvenience felt when walking.
Gale	8	17.2 - 20.7	Generally impedes progress, Great difficulty with balance.
Strong gale	9	20.8 - 24.4	People blown over by gusts.

4.1.2 A.G. Davenport (1972) Criteria for Mean Wind Speeds

A.G. Davenport (1972) had also determined a set of criteria in terms of the Beaufort Scale and for various return periods. The values presented in Table 5 below are based on a frequency of exceedance of approximately once per week (a probability of exceedance of 5%).

Table 5: Criteria by A.G. Davenport (1972)

Classification	Activities	95 Percentile Maximum Mean (approximately once per week)
Walking Fast	Acceptable for walking, main public accessways.	$7.5 \text{ m/s} < \bar{V} < 10.0 \text{ m/s}$
Strolling, Skating	Slow walking, etc.	$5.5 \text{ m/s} < \bar{V} < 7.5 \text{ m/s}$
Short Exposure Activities	Generally acceptable for walking & short duration stationary activities such as window-shopping, standing or sitting in plazas.	$3.5 \text{ m/s} < \bar{V} < 5.5 \text{ m/s}$
Long Exposure Activities	Generally acceptable for long duration stationary activities such as in outdoor restaurants & theatres and in parks.	$\bar{V} < 3.5 \text{ m/s}$

4.1.3 T.V. Lawson (1975) Criteria for Mean Wind Speeds

In 1973, T.V. Lawson quotes that A.D. Penwarden's Beaufort 4 wind speeds (as listed in Table 4) would be acceptable if it is not exceeded for more than 4% of the time; and a Beaufort 6 as being unacceptable if it is exceeded more than 2% of the time. Later, in 1975, T.V. Lawson presented a set of criteria very similar to those of A.G. Davenport's. These are presented in Tables 6 and 7.

Table 6: Safety Criteria by T.V. Lawson (1975)

Classification	Activities	Annual Maximum Mean
Safety (all weather areas)	Accessible by the general public.	15 m/s
Safety (fair weather areas)	Private outdoor areas (balconies, terraces, etc.)	20 m/s

Table 7: Comfort Criteria by T.V. Lawson (1975)

Classification	Activities	95 Percentile Maximum Mean (approximately once per week)
Business Walking	Objective Walking from A to B.	$8 \text{ m/s} < \bar{V} < 10 \text{ m/s}$
Pedestrian Walking	Slow walking, etc.	$6 \text{ m/s} < \bar{V} < 8 \text{ m/s}$
Short Exposure Activities	Pedestrian standing or sitting for short times.	$4 \text{ m/s} < \bar{V} < 6 \text{ m/s}$
Long Exposure Activities	Pedestrian sitting for a long duration.	$\bar{V} < 4 \text{ m/s}$

4.1.4 W.H. Melbourne (1978) Criteria for Gust Wind Speeds

W.H. Melbourne (1978) introduced a set of criteria for the assessment of environmental wind conditions, which were developed for a temperature range of 10°C to 30°C and for people suitably dressed for outdoor conditions. These criteria are based on peak annual maximum gust wind speeds, and are outlined in Table 8 below. It should be noted that this criteria tends to be more conservative than criteria suggested by other researchers.

Table 8: Criteria by W.H. Melbourne (1978)

Classification	Human Activities	Annual Maximum Gust
Limit for safety	Completely unacceptable: people likely to get blown over.	$\hat{V} > 23\text{m/s}$
Marginal	Unacceptable as main public accessways.	$23\text{ m/s} > \hat{V} > 16\text{ m/s}$
Comfortable Walking	Acceptable for walking, main public accessways	$16\text{ m/s} > \hat{V} > 13\text{ m/s}$
Short Exposure Activities	Generally acceptable for walking & short duration stationary activities such as window-shopping, standing or sitting in plazas.	$13\text{ m/s} > \hat{V} > 10\text{ m/s}$
Long Exposure Activities	Generally acceptable for long duration stationary activities such as in outdoor restaurants & theatres and in parks.	$10\text{ m/s} > \hat{V}$

4.2 Comparison of the Various Wind Speed Criteria

The criteria by W.H. Melbourne (1978) mentioned in Table 8, and criteria from other researchers, are compared on a probabilistic basis in Figure 4. This indicates that the criteria by W.H. Melbourne (1978) are quite conservative. This was also observed by A.W. Rofail (2007) when undertaking on-site remedial studies, who concluded that the criteria by W.H. Melbourne (1978) generally overstates the wind effects in a typical urban setting, which is caused by the assumption by W.H. Melbourne of a fixed 15% turbulence intensity for all areas. This value tends to be at the lower end of the range of turbulence intensities, and the A.W. Rofail (2007) study found that, in an urban setting, the range of the *minimum* turbulence intensities is typically in the range of 20% to 60%.




Figure 4: Comparison of Various Mean and Gust Wind Environment Criteria, assuming 15% turbulence and a Gust Factor of 1.5 (after W.H. Melbourne, 1978)

4.3 Wind Speed Criteria Used for This Study

For this study, the measured wind conditions for the various critical outdoor trafficable areas within and around the subject development are compared against two sets of criteria. For comfort, the A.G. Davenport (1972) criteria are used in conjunction with a maximum Gust-Equivalent Mean (GEM) wind speed (defined below), which are representative of approximately a weekly recurrence. The safety limit criterion by W.H. Melbourne (1978) of 23m/s for the annual maximum peak gust wind speeds is also used. Note that the A.G. Davenport (1972) criteria, used in conjunction with a GEM wind speed (defined below), has proven over time, and through field observations, to be the most reliable indicator of pedestrian comfort (A.W. Rofail, 2007). Note also that the safety limit criterion by W.H. Melbourne (1978) of 23m/s for annual maximum peak gust wind speeds is also applied to all areas.

The basic criteria which is used in this study, referenced to a range of outdoor activities, are described as follows:

- **Short Exposure:** 5.5m/s maximum GEM wind speeds (from A.G. Davenport, 1972) (representative of approximately a weekly recurrence).
- **Comfortable Walking:** 7.5m/s maximum GEM wind speeds (from A.G. Davenport, 1972) (representative of approximately a weekly recurrence).
- **Safety Limit:** 23.0m/s annual maximum gust wind speeds (from W.H. Melbourne, 1978).

The results of the wind tunnel study are summarised in the following section, and presented in the form of directional plots attached in Appendix A of this report. Each study point has 2 plots (one comparing to the modified version of the A.G. Davenport (1972) criteria for the maximum GEM wind speeds (which are representative of approximately a weekly recurrence), and the other comparing to the W.H. Melbourne (1978) criteria for the annual maximum peak gust wind speeds) criteria.

Notes:

- The GEM is defined as the maximum of the mean wind speed and the gust wind speed divided by a gust factor of 1.85.
- The gust wind speed is defined as 3.5 standard deviations from the mean.
- Short Exposure applies typically to areas where short duration stationary activities are involved (less than 1 hour). This includes window shopping, waiting areas, main retail centres and retail streets, parks, communal recreational areas etc.
- Comfortable Walking applies typically to areas used mainly for pedestrian thoroughfares and other pedestrian access ways. This also includes private swimming pools and communal areas.
- In all areas, the wind conditions are also checked against the safety limit.

5 TEST PROCEDURE AND METHODOLOGY

5.1 Measurement of the Velocity Coefficients

Testing was performed using Windtech's boundary layer wind tunnel facility, which has a 3.0m wide working section and has a fetch length of 14m. The test procedures followed for the wind tunnel testing performed for this study generally adhere to the guidelines set out in the Australasian Wind Engineering Society Quality Assurance Manual (AWES-QAM-1-2001), ASCE-7-10 (Chapter C31), and CTBUH guidelines.

The model of the subject development was setup within the wind tunnel, and the wind velocity measurements were monitored using Dantec hot-wire probe anemometers at selected critical outdoor locations at a full-scale height of approximately 1.5m above ground/slab level. The probe support for each study location was mounted such that the probe wire was vertical as much as possible, which ensures that the measured wind speeds are independent of wind direction along the horizontal plane. In addition, care was taken in the alignment of the probe wire and in avoiding wall-heating effects. Wind speed measurements are made in the wind tunnel for 16 wind directions, at 22.5° increments. The output from the hot-wire probes was obtained using a National Instruments 12-bit data acquisition card. A sample rate of 1,024Hz was used, which is more than acceptable for the given frequency band. The signal was low pass filtered at 32Hz, which results in the peak gust being the equivalent of a 2 to 3 second gust (which is what the criteria for pedestrian comfort and safety are based upon).

The mean and the maximum 3-second duration peak gust velocity coefficients are derived from the wind tunnel test by the following relation:

$$\hat{C}_V = \bar{C}_V + g \cdot \sigma_V \quad (4.1)$$

where: $\hat{C}_V$ is the 3-second gust velocity coefficient.

$\bar{C}_V$ is the mean velocity coefficient.

g is the gust factor, which is taken to be 3.5.

σ_V is the standard deviation of the velocity measurement.

The mean free-stream wind speed measured in the wind tunnel for this study was approximately 11.4m/s. Note that the measurement location for the mean free-stream wind speed is at a height of 200m at the upwind edge of the proximity model. A sample length of 13 seconds was used for each wind direction tested, which is equivalent to a minimum sample time of approximately 37 minutes in full-scale for the annual maximum gust wind speeds, which is suitable for this type of study.

5.2 Calculation of the Full-Scale Results

To determine if the wind conditions at each study point location will satisfy the relevant criteria for pedestrian comfort and safety, the measured velocity coefficients need to be combined with information about the local wind climate. The aim of combining the wind tunnel measurements with wind climate information is to determine the probability of exceedance of a given wind speed at the site. The local wind climate is normally described using a statistical model, which relates wind speed to a probability of exceedance. Details of the wind climate model used in this study are outlined in Section 1.

A feature of this process is to include the impact of wind directionality, which includes any local variations in wind speed or frequency with wind direction. This is important as the wind directions which produce the highest wind speed events for a region may not coincided with the most wind exposed direction at the site.

The methodology adopted for the derivation of the full-scale results for the annual maximum gust and the weekly maximum GEM wind speeds are outlined in the following sub-sections.

5.2.1 Annual Maximum Gust Wind Speeds

The full-scale annual maximum gust wind speed at each study point location is derived from the measured velocity coefficient using the following relationship:

$$V_{study} = V_{ref,RH} \left(\frac{k_{200m,tr,T=3600s}}{k_{RH,tr,T=3600s}} \right) C_V \quad (4.2)$$

V_{study} is the full-scale wind velocity at the study point location, in m/s.

$V_{ref,RH}$ is the full-scale reference wind speed at the upwind edge of the proximity model at the study reference height. This value is determined by combining the directional wind speed data for the region (detailed in Section 1) and the upwind terrain and height multipliers for the site (detailed in Section 3).

$k_{200m,tr,T=3600s}$ is the hourly mean terrain and height multiplier at 200m for the standard terrain category setup used in the wind tunnel tests.

$k_{RH,tr,T=3600s}$ is the hourly mean terrain and height multiplier at the study reference height (see Table 3).

C_V is the velocity coefficient measurement obtained from the hot-wire anemometer, which is derived from the following relationship:

$$C_V = \frac{C_{V,study}}{C_{V,200m}} \quad (4.3)$$

$C_{V,study}$ is the velocity coefficient measurement obtained from the hot-wire anemometer at the study point location.

$C_{V,200m}$ is the measurement obtained from the hot-wire anemometer at the free-stream reference location at 200m height upwind of the model in the wind tunnel.

The value of $V_{ref,RH}$ varies with each prevailing wind direction. Wind directions where there is a high probability that a strong wind will occur will have a higher directional wind speed than other directions. To determine the directional wind speeds, a probability level must be assigned for each wind direction. These probability levels are set following the approach used in AS/NZS1170.2:2011, which assumes that the major contributions to the combined probability of exceedance of a typical load effect comes from only two 45 degree sectors.

5.2.2 Weekly Maximum Gust-Equivalent Mean Wind Speeds

The contribution to the probability of exceedance of a specified wind speed (i.e. the desired wind speed for pedestrian comfort, as per the criteria) is calculated for each wind direction. These contributions are then combined over all wind directions to calculate the total probability of exceedance of the specified wind speed. To calculate the probability of exceedance for a specified wind speed a statistical wind climate model was used to describe the relationship between directional wind speeds and the probability of exceedance. A detailed description of the methodology is given by T.V. Lawson (1980).


The criteria of A.G. Davenport (1972), which is used in this study, is referenced to a probability of exceedance of 5% of a specified wind speed and is representative of approximately a weekly recurrence interval.

5.3 Layout of Study Points, and Relevant Wind Speed Criteria

For this study a total of 57 study point locations have been selected for analysis in the wind tunnel. The locations of the various study points tested for this study are presented in Figures 5a to 5j in the form of a marked-up plan drawings. The target wind speed criteria for the outdoor trafficable areas within and around the development are also indicated in these figures.

It should be noted that only the most critical outdoor locations of the development have been selected for analysis. For example, balconies located in the middle of the building face will benefit from the shielding provided by the effective use of privacy screens, and their recessed design into the overall building footprint, and wind conditions experienced on balconies such as this are expected to be suitable for the intended use.

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.



© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016

Target Criteria

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 5.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for short exposure activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.




Figure 5b: Study Point Locations and Target Criteria – Ground Level

Target Criteria

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.




Figure 5c: Study Point Locations and Target Criteria – Level 2

Target Criteria

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.





Figure 5d: Study Point Locations and Target Criteria – Level 3

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.



© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016

Target Criteria

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.




Figure 5f: Study Point Locations and Target Criteria – Level 5

Target Criteria

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.





Figure 5g: Study Point Locations and Target Criteria – Level 8

DA Level 25
SSL 168.050



© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016

Target Criteria

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.





Figure 5i: Study Point Locations and Target Criteria – Level 40

A.G. Davenport (1972) criterion of 7.5m/s (weekly GEM's) for pedestrian activities.
W.H. Melbourne (1978) criterion of 23m/s (annual gusts) for safety.



© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016

6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The model of the proposed development was initially tested in the wind tunnel without the effect of any forms of wind ameliorating devices such as screens, balustrades, awnings, etc., which are not already shown in the architectural drawings. The effect of vegetation was also excluded from the initial testing. For areas not achieving appropriate wind conditions, treatments have been formulated, both tested and in-principle, in the wind tunnel to ensure their effectiveness.

The results for all study points locations are presented in the form of directional plots in Appendix A, and are summarised in Table 9 below and in Figures 6a to 6l and Figures 7a to 7l. The wind speed criteria that the wind conditions should achieve are also listed in Table 9 for each study point location, as well as in Figures 5a to 5j.

With the inclusion of the proposed development, the results of the study indicate that some treatments are necessary to be implemented to achieve the desired wind conditions for certain outdoor trafficable locations for both scenarios with the existing and proposed development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway. The suggested treatments, which have been tested in the wind tunnel to verify their effectiveness, are summarised as follows and shown in Figures 8a to 8h:

Ground Level

- Retention of the proposed densely foliating trees in Friedlander Place.
- Retention of the proposed densely foliating shrub planting to 1.5m high underneath palm trees in Friedlander Place.
- Retention of the proposed densely foliating planting to the south of Friedlander Place.
- Retention of the 3m high impermeable screen on the southern and western boundary of Friedlander Place.
- Temporary 25% porous screen or vertical trellis to the east of the entrance until the adjacent 472-486 Pacific Highway is completed.
- Localised portable screening around the seating areas proposed to the east of the main entryway to be operator controlled in the event of strong winds.

Level 2

- Option 1:
 - Temporary full-height 25% porous screen on the north-eastern corner of the terrace until the adjacent 472-486 Pacific Highway is completed.
 - Full-height 25% porous screen on the south-eastern corner of the terrace.

- Retention of the proposed 1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting along the terrace perimeter.
- Option 2: Make eastern terrace area non-trafficable.

Level 3

- Option 1:
 - Full-height 25% porous return screen on the south-eastern corner of the terrace.
 - Full-height 25% porous return screen along the eastern perimeter of the terrace.
 - Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the south-western and north-western corner areas of the terrace.
- Option 2: Make eastern terrace area non-trafficable.

Level 4

- Option 1:
 - Full-height 25% porous return screen on the southern edge of the south-eastern corner balcony.
 - Retention of the proposed 1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting along the terrace perimeter.
- Option 2: Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the south-eastern corner balcony.
- Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the south-western corner balcony.

Level 5 to Level 43

- Option 1: Inclusion of wind sensors with warning systems on the north-western, north-eastern, south-eastern, and south-western corner balconies.
- Option 2: Ensure corner balconies are non-trafficable (non-trafficable balconies will not require warning systems to be implemented).

With regards to the wind conditions on the balconies, the wind tunnel study has identified various corner balconies where wind speeds are expected to exceed the safety limit. To address this, it is agreed with the applicant that the Strata Bylaws will incorporate a provision to prohibit the placement of light furniture anywhere on the corner residential balconies, unless it is securely attached to the terrace floor slab. In addition, wind speed sensors will be installed near the respective corners of building at various heights and to be set to trigger a warning to the occupants (such as a warning light) whenever the mean wind speed exceeds 8m/s (or 20m/s gust). There should be a minimum of 8 sensors, one for each corner on the upper levels of the tower for the wind conditions on the upper half of the tower, as well as one for each

corner approximately halfway up the tower for the wind conditions on the lower half of the tower. Making the area non-trafficable will negate the need for a warning system for that specific area. The wind speed anemometers can be calibrated in a wind tunnel, if a calibration certificate is not provided.

With the inclusion of these recommended treatments to the final design, the results of this study indicate that wind conditions for all outdoor trafficable areas within and around the subject development will be suitable for their intended uses for both scenarios with the existing and proposed development located at 472-486 Pacific Highway. Note the densely foliating trees should be of an evergreen species to ensure their effectiveness in wind mitigation throughout the year. The inclusion of additional densely foliating vegetation within and around the outdoor trafficable areas of the subject development is expected to further enhance the localised wind conditions.

Table 9: Wind Tunnel Results Summary

Point Name	Desired Criterion (m/s)		Treatment Necessary to Pass?	Description of Treatment
	Weekly GEM	Annual Peak		
Point 01	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 02	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 03	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 04	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8a
Point 05	5.5	23.0	YES	Better than or equivalent to existing
Point 06	5.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 07	5.5	23.0	YES	Better than or equivalent to existing
Point 08	5.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 09	5.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 10	5.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 11	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 12	7.5	23.0	NO	See Figure 8a
Point 13	5.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8a
Point 14	5.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8a
Point 15	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8a
Point 16	7.5	23.0	NO	Better than or equivalent to existing
Point 17	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8a
Point 18	7.5	23.0	YES	Better than or equivalent to existing
Point 19	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8a
Point 20	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 21	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 22	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8b
Point 22A	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8b
Point 23	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8b
Point 24	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 25	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 26	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8c
Point 27	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8c
Point 28	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8c
Point 29	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8c
Point 30	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 30A	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 31	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8d
Point 32	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8d
Point 33	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 34	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8e
Point 35	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8e
Point 36	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8e
Point 37	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8e

Point Name	Desired Criterion (m/s)		Treatment Necessary to Pass?	Description of Treatment
	Weekly GEM	Annual Peak		
Point 38	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8g
Point 39	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 40	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8g
Point 41	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 42	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8h
Point 43	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8h
Point 44	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8h
Point 45	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8h
Point 48	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 49	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 50	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 51	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 52	7.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 53	7.5	23.0	YES	Better than or equivalent to existing
Point 54	5.5	23.0	NO	-
Point 55	7.5	23.0	YES	See figure 8d
Point 56	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8f
Point 57	7.5	23.0	YES	See Figure 8f





Figure 6a: Wind Directionality Plots - Nicholson Street - Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development (results shown without any treatments applied)







Figure 6b: Wind Directionality Plots - Nicholson Street – Existing Development Site with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development (results shown without any treatments applied)



**Figure 6c: Wind Directionality Plots – Ground Level - Proposed Development
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**






Figure 6d: Wind Directionality Plots – Ground Level – Existing Development Site with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development (results shown without any treatments applied)

Legend



- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Exceeding Criteria
- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Satisfying Criteria





SSL 96.100

Level 2

**Figure 6e: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 2 - Proposed Development
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 6f: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 3 – Proposed Development
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 6g: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 4 - Proposed Development
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**

Legend

- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Exceeding Criteria
- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Satisfying Criteria









Figure 6h: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 5 - Proposed Development with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development (results shown without any treatments applied)



— Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Exceeding Criteria
— Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Satisfying Criteria




© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016





**Figure 6k: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 40 - Proposed Development
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**

Legend



- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Exceeding Criteria
- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Satisfying Criteria





**Figure 6I: Wind Directionality Plots – Roof Level - Proposed Development
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 7a: Wind Directionality Plots - Nicholson Street - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 7b: Wind Directionality Plots - Nicholson Street – Existing Development Site
with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 7c: Wind Directionality Plots – Ground Level - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**









Figure 7d: Wind Directionality Plots – Ground Level – Existing Development Site with the Existing 472-486 Pacific Highway Development (results shown without any treatments applied)





SSL 96.100

Level 2



**Figure 7e: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 2 - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**





**Figure 7f: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 3 – Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 7g: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 4 - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**




**Figure 7h: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 5 - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**





**Figure 7i: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 8 - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**

Legend



- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Exceeding Criteria
- Wind Speed Magnitude from Directions Satisfying Criteria



**Figure 7j: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 25 - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



**Figure 7k: Wind Directionality Plots – Level 40 - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**



SSL 227.300

Roof Plan

**Figure 7I: Wind Directionality Plots – Roof Level - Proposed Development
with the Proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway Development
(results shown without any treatments applied)**

Treatments Legend


- Temporary full-height 25% porous screen until Mirvac development complete
- 3m high impermeable wind screen as proposed
- Localised operator controlled portable screening in this area
- Densely foliating tree planting as proposed
- Tree planting as proposed, with densely foliating 1.5m high shrubs beneath



Figure 8a: Recommended Treatments on Ground Level

Treatments Legend

- Option 1a: Temporary full-height 25% porous screen
- Option 1b: Full-height 25% porous screen
- Option 2: Area non-trafficable
-  1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting




SSL 96.100

Level 2

Figure 8b: Recommended Treatments on Podium Commercial Level 2

Treatments Legend

- Option 1a: Full-height 25% porous screens
- Option 1b: Inclusion of wind speed sensors with warning light for corner areas
- Option 1c: 1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting
- Option 2: Area non-trafficable



SSL 99.700

Level 3

Figure 8c: Recommended Treatments on Podium Commercial Level 3

Treatments Legend

- Option 1a: Full-height 25% porous screen
- Option 1b: 1-2m high densely foliating evergreen shrubs or hedge planting
- Option 2: Inclusion of wind speed sensors with warning light for corner areas
- Inclusion of wind speed sensor with warning light for corner areas




Figure 8d: Recommended Treatments on Level 4

Treatments Legend

- Option 1: Inclusion of wind speed sensors with warning light for corner areas
- Option 2: Ensure corner balcony areas are non-trafficable






Figure 8e: Recommended Treatments on Level 5

- Option 1: Inclusion of wind speed sensors with warning light for corner areas
- Option 2: Ensure corner balcony areas are non-trafficable



© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016

- Option 1: Inclusion of wind speed sensors with warning light for corner areas
- Option 2: Ensure corner balcony areas are non-trafficable



© Windtech Consultants Pty Ltd
Sydney Office
WC687-09F02(rev0)- WE Report
October 4, 2016

Treatments Legend

- Option 1: Inclusion of wind speed sensors with warning light for corner areas
- Option 2: Ensure corner balcony areas are non-trafficable




Figure 8h: Recommended Treatments on Level 26-43


REFERENCES

- Australian and New Zealand Standard, AS/NZS1170.2:2011, "Structural Design Actions".
- Aynsley, R.M., Melbourne, W., Vickery, B.J., 1977, "Architectural Aerodynamics", Applied Science Publishers.
- Davenport, A.G., 1972, "An approach to human comfort criteria for environmental conditions", Colloquium on Building Climatology, Stockholm.
- Davenport, A.G., 1977, "The prediction of risk under wind loading", 2nd International Conference on Structural Safety and Reliability, September 19-21, 1977, Munich, Germany, pp. 511-538.
- Deaves, D. M. and Harris, R. I. 1978, "A mathematical model of the structure of strong winds." Construction Industry and Research Association (U.K), Report 76.
- International Organisation for Standardisation, ISO4354:2009, "Wind Actions on Structures".
- Lawson, T.V., 1973, "The wind environment of buildings: a logical approach to the establishment of criteria", Bristol University, Department of Aeronautical Engineering.
- Lawson, T.V., 1975, "The determination of the wind environment of a building complex before construction", Bristol University, Department of Aeronautical Engineering.
- Lawson, T.V., 1980, "Wind Effects on Buildings - Volume 1, Design Applications", Applied Science Publishers Ltd, Ripple Road, Barking, Essex, England.
- Melbourne, W.H., 1978, "Criteria for Environmental Wind Conditions", Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol.3, pp.241-249.
- Melbourne, W.H., 1978, "Wind Environment Studies in Australia", Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, vol.3, pp.201-214.
- Penwarden, A.D., and Wise A.F.E., 1975, "Wind Environment Around Buildings", Building Research Establishment Report, London.
- Rofail, A.W., 2007, "Comparison of Wind Environment Criteria against Field Observations", 12th International Conference of Wind Engineering (Volume 2), Cairns, Australia.

APPENDIX A - DIRECTIONAL PLOTS OF THE WIND TUNNEL RESULTS

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 01

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

5%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 02

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

3%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 03

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

1%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 04

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

3%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

15%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

0%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 05

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

4%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

9%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

5%

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 06

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

11%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 07

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

6%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

1%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 08

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 09

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

0%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 10

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

4%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

2%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 11

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

3%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 12

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway


Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With densely foliating trees along Pacific Highway, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With full-height porous screen between columns to the south-east, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 13

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

8%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

16%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

7%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway


Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With densely foliating trees along Pacific Highway and to the east, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 14

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

11%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

12%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

12%

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With densely foliating trees to the north-east and south, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 15

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

9%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

3%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 16

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

9%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

8%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 17

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

2%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

8%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

0%

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 18

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

16%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

4%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 19

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

9%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

0%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 20

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 21

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 22

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence (existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion Exceedence (initial test)

10%

Probability of Criterion Exceedence (final retest)

3%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%


Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With 1m high hedge planting above planter box along eastern balcony edge, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 22A

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

4%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With 1m high hedge planting above planter box along eastern balcony edge, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With full-height porous screen along northern edge of balcony, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 23

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence (existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion Exceedence (initial test)

13%

Probability of Criterion Exceedence (final retest)

0%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%


Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With 1m high hedge planting above planter box along eastern balcony edge and full-height porous screen on southern edge,

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 24

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 25

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 26

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

10%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%


Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With full height porous screen on eastern balcony edge, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 27

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

14%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

1%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With full height porous screen on eastern and southern balcony edge, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 28

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

11%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 29

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

3%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

5%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 30

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

1%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 30A

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

4%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 31

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

7%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

0%

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With hedge planting along eastern balcony edge, full-height porous screen along southern balcony edge, excluding 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With hedge planting along eastern balcony edge, full-height porous screen along southern balcony edge, including 472-486 Pacific Highway
- With hedge planting along eastern balcony edge, full-height porous screen along southern balcony edge, including 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 32

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

7%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

8%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 33

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence (existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion Exceedence (initial test)

5%

Probability of Criterion Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 34

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

13%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

1%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 35

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

8%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

1%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 36

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

19%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

21%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 37

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

14%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

16%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 38

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

10%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

8%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 39

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 40

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

3%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

1%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 41

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

3%


Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

- Criterion.
- Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
- Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway
-
-
-
-

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 42

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

18%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

14%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 43

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

13%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

12%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 44

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

7%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

9%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 45

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

6%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

8%


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 48

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 49

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 50

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 51

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 52

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

0%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 53

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

11%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

3%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 54

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

5.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

23%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

14%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.


Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Existing site, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 55

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

4%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A

NOTE: The desired criterion is exceeded if the probability of exceedence is greater than 5%


Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

With hedge planting along eastern balcony edge, full-height porous screen along southern balcony edge, excluding 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 56

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

5%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

N/A


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

Measured Wind Speeds at Point 57

Weekly Maximum GEM (m/s)



Desired Criterion

7.5m/s

Prob. of Criterion Exceedence
(existing site conditions)

N/A

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (initial test)

10%

Probability of Criterion
Exceedence (final retest)

9%


NOTE: The desired criterion is
exceeded if the probability of
exceedence is greater than 5%

Criterion.

Proposed development, including proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Proposed development, excluding proposed 472-486 Pacific Highway

Annual Maximum Gust (m/s)




Desired Criterion

23m/s

APPENDIX B - VELOCITY AND TURBULENCE INTENSITY PROFILES

Mean Velocity and Turbulence Intensity for Open Terrain (TC2) at a 1:300 Scale



Longitudinal Spectra Density for Open Terrain (TC2) at a 1:300 Scale

